



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,407	11/05/2001	Hirotada Sasaki	05225.0213	1784	
75	90 08/15/2003				
Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner 1300 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, THUY VAN		
wasnington, Do	20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
		DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Offic Action Summary		09/890,40	7	SASAKI, HIROTAL	)A				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Thuy v. Tr	an	3652					
The MAILING L	DATE of this communication app				dress				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1) Responsive to	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2003.								
2a) This action is I	] This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
,	☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>	•								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/	are objected to: are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	auirement						
Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/or	i ciccuon re	quiternent.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
1.☐ Certified	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.☐ Certified	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	<u>&amp; 7</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTo					

Application Number: 09/890,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Claim 2 is generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 4 and 5, directed to the species of Figures 6, 7, 9 and 10 are no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## **Drawings**

2. Figures 3A (see page 15, lines 17-19), 12 and 13 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application Number: 09/890,407 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

Note, a separate brief description of Figures 3A and 3B should be added to the specification.

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 14, line 19, "guide rail 10" should be --guide rail 1--, and on page 15, line 3, "spring 7"should be --spring 13-- and in line 4, "sliding portion 10" should be --sliding portion 11--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "whereby a dimension in the direction perpendicular...is changed in accordance with braking force", found in claim 1, lines 7-10, renders the claim indefinite because it is not understood whose dimension is being referred to?

Application Number: 09/890,407 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 498917 A (DE '917).

DE '917 discloses an elevator emergency stop device comprising a guide rail D, Fig. 2, a wedge shaped element comprising a sliding part (a), a mechanism (springs) which dimension in the direction perpendicular with respect to faces along which guide rail and sliding part is changed in accordance with braking force.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SU 659503 A (SU '503).

SU '503 discloses an elevator emergency stop device comprising a guide rail 4, Fig. 1, a wedge shaped element comprising a sliding part 1, and a mechanism 6, 10 which dimension in the direction perpendicular with respect to faces along which guide rail and sliding part a is changed in accordance with braking force.

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sissala et al. 5,159,995.

Application Number: 09/890,407 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 11.

disclosure. Each of the cited references separately discloses a wedge shaped brake for used in

elevator.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2558.

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

la liles

**TVT** 

August 10, 2003